$\mathcal{J}$ 

## REPORTER'S RECORD VOLUME 1 OF I VOLUME

TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 07-00168

UDO BIRNBAUM,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

٧S

VAN ZANDT COUNTY, TEXAS

RICHARD RAY, ET AL

Defendants.

294TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR PROTECTION

AND

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

## **ORIGINAL**

On the 20th day of March, 2008, the following proceedings came on to be heard in the above-entitled and numbered cause before the Honorable

Andrew J. Kupper, Judge presiding, held in Canton,

County of Van Zandt, State of Texas;

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand.

J

## APPEARANCES

MR. UDO BIRNBAUM 540 VZ CR 2916 Eustace, Texas 75124 (903) 479-3929 PRO SE PLAINTIFF

MR. JOEL C. ELLIOTT Ray & Elliott, P.C. 300 South Trade Days Boulevard Canton, Texas 75103 (903) 567-2051

 $\mathcal{J}$ 

REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANTS

## INDEX VOLUME I (MOTION FOR PROTECTION AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY)

	Page	Vol.
MARCH 20, 2008	_	
Appearances	2	1
CaseCalled	4	1
Comments by Mr. Elliott	. 4	1
Commentsby Mr. Birnbaum	6	1
(Motion for Protection Granted	. 42	1
Motion for Discovery Granted	42	1
Adjournment	46	1
Court Reporter's Certificate	. 47	1

\*\*\* PROCEEDINGS \*\*\*

(March 20, 2008; 1:30 p.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated. This is Cause Number 07-00168, Udo Birnbaum versus Richard Ray and others, and Richard Ray versus Udo Birnbaum.

We're here on a Motion for Protection that Mr. Elliott has filed having to do with a deposition notice to Mr. Elliott, or to Mr. Elliott and Ms. Thatcher; both?

MR. ELLIOTT: Both, Judge.

THE COURT: And we're also here on Mr. Birnbaum's Rule 190.4 Motion for Discovery control plan, and I guess some other matters to take care of as we move along.

Mr. Elliott, you may proceed on your

I motion

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, I've been practicing with Mr. Ray as a partner for about five or six years and as an attorney associate since, like, 1999. This suit started in the mid '90s. And in all honesty, it was something that just kind of lingered along, and somehow, I always thought it was something we could just kind of chuckle about, but now I've turned up as a defendant in this. And at the same time, I think Mr. Birnbaum believes he's properly served me. As of

today when I contacted the clerk's office there hadn't been a proper return of service. No one's actually come out and served me, and I don't think they've got it done properly through the mail. And the same holds true for Ms. Thatcher.

In addition to that, Judge, I haven't been served with a subpoena for a deposition. No one's actually come and served me with a subpoena. I haven't received anything by certified mail that would have been properly filed with the Court.

And then, third, Judge, even if

Mr. Birnbaum does come out and serve me with a subpoena,
any knowledge that I have in this case has been as a
defense attorney for Mr. Ray. And all the knowledge
that I have of Mr. Ray should be protected by the attorneyclient privilege, which is his privilege to waive, not
mine. So under those reasons, both

Ms. Thatcher and I have filed this Motion for Protection.

My first inclination was since I hadn't been properly served, if I just didn't show up, it wasn't going to matter anyway; he wasn't going to be able to file for sanctions because I hadn't been properly served.

However, seeing as how I've been brought

into this suit simply by the -- simply for the reason that I was representing Mr. Ray, and I assume Ms.

Thatcher, because her name's on the letterhead, and maybe she signed the pleading if I was out -- now that we're both in this, I figure it was best to be proactive and bring this to your attention instead of sitting back and waiting to see what Mr. Birnbaum does next. So with that said, that's our Motion for Protection.

I don't necessarily know if the Court needs to -- I would like to have an order granting my Motion for Protection, but since I haven't been properly served, I don't even know if the Court doesn't enter an order that I still have to show up. But I just wanted to bring this to the Court's attention.

THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum?

MR. BIRNBAUM: First thing I'm going to address is some of the things he mentioned. And you can look at it here --

THE COURT: I can't hear you.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Okay. I'm sorry. First, I

lwant to address what Mr. Elliott just said. He said he

Ientered a Motion for Protection for himself and

IMs. Thatcher, and the Motion for Protection, I believe,

does not mention Ms. Thatcher at all. He said this

stuff started in the mid '90s. He didn't say what it

is. I think he described it properly; it's just something they could chuckle about, and now he finds himself being a defendant after 13 years or something like that. The problem is they were chuckling about it.

He says he has not received a subpoena for -- I presume that is for service, but if you look at the Motion for Protection, it says right there on the face: Motion for Protection, comes now defendant, Joel C. Elliott. And it is signed on the bottom. It makes no mention of Thatcher, like he just said -- makes no mention, and he only signs it as Joel Elliott, attorney

Defendant has so far been Mr. Ray. But in this particular case if he comes now -- come defendant, Joel Elliott, he's appearing in this Court, before this Court, appearing in this Cause Number to tell you he's

Now, it's not real clear what defendant is.

THE COURT: How did he get in,

IMr. Birnbaum?

Ifor defendant.

MR. BIRNBAUM: I added him to the suit upon

Ihis counterclaim.

not in this Cause Number.

THE COURT: How did you do that?

MR. BIRNBAUM: I amended the petition.

THE COURT: You amended the petition?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: what did you do after that?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, I notified Mr. Ray

that -- I notified Mr. Ray that there were other parties in it, and this person has knowledge of it as Mr. Ray's. So, yes, there is a formality of this. In general, when you have a serving you go to the sheriff, someone that runs around with a gun that people --

THE COURT: Are we talking about the second amended original petition?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you didn't sign it?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Of course, I signed it.

THE COURT: Well, the one that I'm looking at is not signed.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, if it is -- that is correct. I saved you a copy.

THE COURT: I'm talking about in the file.

I'm looking at it right here in the file.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Should be signed. If it's not, it's a screw-up by me or by somebody.

THE COURT: Well, it's a non-pleading if you don't sign it.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, in that particular (case, a person is allowed to -- upon notice that it is

not signed, he is allowed to correct --

THE COURT: That's true.

MR. BIRNBAUM: -- that mistake.

THE COURT: But until he has signed it, it's not a plea, because it's required by the Rules of Civil Procedure that all pleadings be signed.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, if I didn't, it's -THE COURT: You want to look at it and see?

See if I'm lying to you?

MR. BIRNBAUM: I'm really surprised.

THE COURT: Unless there's something I'm missing, here it is, unless there's another one in here.

I've just --

MR. BIRNBAUM: I'm really surprised at

I that

THE COURT: You think somebody did that to

I you?

MR. BIRNBAUM: No, I did that myself.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Screw-up.

THE COURT: It happens. I've done it

| myself

MR. BIRNBAUM: I've got some copies -- you know, I don't think the Court has any reason to believe that I would intentionally not sign it.

THE COURT: I don't think -- absolutely.

MR. BIRNBAUM: I did not have them served

MR. BIRNBAUM: This is the copies I've made and all of the copies that I've screwed up.

THE COURT Be sure and file a new one with his signature on it.

MR. BIRNBAUM Yes, sir. All right. I'll move on with this.

THE COURT Did you have Mr. Elliott and IMs. Thatcher served?

through the sheriff's office. I notified them that they had been added because by my sending Mr. Ray and them acting attorney, they have full knowledge of it. They know what it means to be added to a lawsuit. They know what duties they have. And the normal person out there who only recognizes a sheriff with a gun, he has to be

told that they really ought to come down to the courthouse and what they ought to do.

THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum, at the same time as I don't exempt you from the rules of being an attorney, I don't exempt them from the rules of being an individual person.

MR. BIRNBAUM: I understand.

THE COURT: So you got to serve him. MR. BIRNBAUM: Okay.

THE COURT: You can do it by certified

mail

MR. BIRNBAUM: Can I do it by certified

mail?

THE COURT: I'm telling you you can do it by certified mail.

Isn't that right, Mr. Elliott?

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, I think that may be what he's tried to do most recently since he's figured out what I've done. However, he can't --

THE COURT: You have to be served by an officer, either a sheriff or a private server. I don't practice law. I don't know what the rules on service are. I just know that it's got to be served.

MR. ELLIOTT: He hasn't been able to properly serve us even by certified mail. He's sent it certified, but he hasn't followed the rules to get his return of citation filed.

THE COURT: I can't advise you,

Mr. Birnbaum. I'm trying to not cross over into anything here.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Let me go on. Forget that part for a minute. You've asked for a discovery control plan? Is that what you really want?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Let me -- just a minute.

THE through.

MR. BIRNBAUM. I was not through with this.

If he's

about me and Ms. Thatcher both simply by representing -- just because their name is on the

letterhead her name is not just on the letterhead.

Her name is listed as an attorney, and several motions

in this case have been actually signed by --

THE COURT: Why, as their attorneys, are

they added

parties?
BIRNBAUM: For aiding and abetting.
MR.

THE COURT: For aiding and abetting?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Mr. Ray.

THE COURT: Because they're his attorney?

MR. BIRNBAUM: No, not because they are his

attorney at all. Because they are telling untruths in the Court.

THE COURT: Well, but these are just allegations in a pleading, Mr. Birnbaum.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, those are my

allegations.

THE COURT: No. What I'm saying is, they're allegations that attorneys make. It doesn't mean that they're true.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, of course, they are.

Yes, Your Honor. If you look at the RICO statute, it has aiding and abetting. But for aiding and abetting, it has to be done through the enterprise that was the RICO case in the first place, and that's the case over here.

I'm alleging that Mr. Ray did these things.

You can't do RICO violation if you have a lemonade stand in the street. It has to be something affect interstate or foreign commerce. This Court affects -- I've said, this is the enterprise. That doesn't mean it's bad. It simply says he's

participating in the conduct of it as an attorney. If Mr. Ray has not been an attorney, I would not have been able to file this suit.

And by the same reason, Mr. Ray and Mr. Elliott and Ms. Thatcher would not have been able to aid and abet except as officers of the Court. If they simply just have a lemonade stand out there, I couldn't get them for aiding and abetting Mr. Ray by getting a glass of lemonade or putting air into his tires. The case law on the RICO statute --

THE COURT: So anybody that represents Mr. Ray is aiding and abetting?

MR. BIRNBAUM: No. No. When their conscience arises that they violated their oath of office and don't tell the truth.

THE COURT: But, Mr. Birnbaum, if they're representing their client and their client tells them something, aren't they duty-bound to believe their client?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Not if they're a partner.

THE COURT: If they're a partner it's

different?

I up --

MR. BIRNBAUM: Then they have to make a reasonable rule of inquiry, and this person has seen the evidence and represented summary judgment that started the beavers, and Mr. Ray turned it into a case of the -- remember, we had the Motion for Summary Judgment? You saw the evidence; he saw the evidence, and then he comes

THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum, that's -- those are allegations. Those are your claims of evidence.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, that's what a pleading

Iis. That's how --

THE COURT: You're exactly right. An allegation, right? Doesn't mean it's true.

MR. BIRNBAUM: No, it doesn't mean it's true at all, but it gives you cause of action.

THE COURT: Not necessarily. It does not give you a cause of action. But you are pleading your request for a cause of action.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Judge, how familiar are you with the RICO statute, or have you read my pleading?

THE COURT: I've read everything you've

MR. BIRNBAUM: You noticed that it was not signed, so I imagine you did get to the end of it.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Thank you.

THE COURT: Just now. I just now saw it. You did not send it to me And anything that's been transmitted to me I've signed. I don't come over here to Canton every day to see if anything's in the pleadings.

MR. BIRNBAUM: As part of the Motion for Rule 194 setting the discovery control plan, I attached and gave to Pam the motion and gave you a copy of the I motion

THE COURT: I didn't get a copy of the motion. I found out about it when Pam called me this week. Actually, I called her when I got his motion.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, it's got the date on here on March the 11th. So maybe she called you about

lthat

sent me

THE COURT: She did not call me. I called her. When I received --

MR. BIRNBAUM: Okay. I gave Pam and the Court my motion for -- let me get it over here. Okay. Have you received my motion at all?

THE COURT: I just looked at it just now.

No, I have not received it.

MR. BIRNBAUM: All right. It says: Now claiming on March, I filed original petition. This January 21, they filed the counterclaim, and then I said, On March 11th, I filed second amended petition and I have at the front -- it says: Plaintiff comes now counter defendant, Udo Birnbaum, respectfully shows the Court the following, attaching the named documents by reference.

SoI did not refile them in the Court,
I provided you a copy through Pam of both of these
Idocuments.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I don't disagree with you at all, Mr. Birnbaum. You asked me a question, and I said, No, I did not personally receive them in Kaufman, Texas. The first time I've seen your second amended petition -- I think that's what you called it.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes.

THE COURT: And this request for a discovery control plan was today.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Okay. That, of course, is their counterclaim.

THE COURT: But I knew it existed. I knew that your request existed because Pam told me. And I said, Well, set that today along with Mr. Elliott's request for protection.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, I gave it to Pam also.

THE COURT: Do you know what a discovery

control plan is?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

party -- the judge shall, if other matters arise that

THE COURT: Okay. What is it?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Is that a on a motion the

require the thing and it has something to do with --well, I have the number; the new matters need to be

incorporated into it.

THE COURT And what new matters do we

I have?

MR. BIRNBAUM We have a new pleading from over there. We have a new pleading of libel, slander, intense -- and emotional distress.

THE COURT: Okay. And so how would you deal with that under a discovery control plan?

MR. BIRNBAUM: You're the one that sets the

discovery control plan.

THE COURT: No, no. How are you requesting me to deal with the discovery control plan?

MR. BIRNBAUM: That you set the trial, the pretrial, set a schedule for completing the discovery on that matter.

THE COURT: That's all? That's all you

want?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, and tell the -- and,

more or less, tell the parties to behave and assume

control over the things so we don't -- well.

THE COURT: Okay. The kind of control plans I've seen are very detailed and very long, and they usually anticipate a Level 3. Is this a Level 3?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know.

MR. BIRNBAUM: No. What I'm saying is, it was pleaded by them under Level 2. By being a Level 2 and from the new pleadings, I'm asking --

THE COURT: Your original petition says

I Level 3

MR. BIRNBAUM: But it says it's intended

I under

THE COURT: Say again?

MR. BIRNBAUM: The original petition says

fit's under 2.

```
1 THE COURT: No.
```

- 2 MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, the original says it's
- 3 under 3, I believe.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 5 MR. BIRNBAUM: I've corrected that and made
- 6 it under 2 on the first amended and didn't change
- 7 anything else on it.
- 8 THE COURT: So what did you put on the
- 9 second amended? Level 2?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: It still has Level 2, but I
- 11 am putting -- I'm not putting it in the pleading; I'm
- 12 putting it into the motion, so I don't have to amend my
- 13 pleading again.
- 14 THE COURT: What are you putting into the
- 15 motion?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: For it to be Level 3.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: May I continue?
- I want to -- well, specifically, on this
- 22 thing that he said over there on the lawyer. He says,
- 23 Just because her name appeared on the letterhead.
- 24 Again, I repeat, it did not appear just on the
- 25 letterhead. She actually signed --

- THE COURT: He conceded that to you that he
- 2 knew she probably signed something but he didn't know.
- 3 MR. BIRNBAUM: The issue in this particular
- 4 case is just assume the possibility of one lawyer

5 representing one and the other one representing that one

- 6 and both claiming privilege because they're
- 7 attorney-client. Can you imagine the mess that would
- 8 be?
- 9 When you're their partner -- when you're

10 their partner or their daughter and you live in that law

- 11 office, you ought to consider the possibility that you
- 12 might become a witness, particularly if he sues me for
- 13 damage to his business in another county suit. As of
- 14 the moment that he claims injury in terms of suffering
- 15 anxiety, stress, loss of confidence, loss of his
- 16 business, when you are his lawyer and his partner, you
- 17 ought to get yourself off that case in that case,
- 18 because you becoming a witness as to whether this man is
- 19 really suffering from stress, anxiety, loss of
- 20 confidence, and a couple more things.
- 21 So I'm saying all of the things about the
- 22 attorney doesn't hold. They were his partners long
- 23 before they chose to become his attorney to assume the
- 24 mantle of privilege. They're in it in their office.
- 25 This is not a normal lawyer-client relationship where

the lawyer doesn't know anything. In this particular case, the lawyer came in there with full knowledge of the facts.

This has been going on before. There had been another suit before; he had seen it. This man has seen the documents that -- how he took a beaver case where the man sued me because he was mad because I wouldn't sign a permission request for him to blow up a beaver dam on my property. I had no obligation to let him on my property to blow up a beaver dam.

THE COURT: Okay. Wait a minute. That case has been tried, right?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes.

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to hear any more about beaver dams. It doesn't have anything to do with this case.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir, it does.

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. I say it doesn't; it doesn't. Because you tried that case.

MR. BIRNBAUM: This is a completely different nucleus of operative facts.

THE COURT: So my question now is, why is there not a judgment in that case?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Because they've been concealing what they did all along. That's my point.

```
2 Mr. Elliott?
 3
                  MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, I believe that
      4 Judge Chapman -- I don't have the file here. I believe
 5 Judge Chapman did sign an order in 2007 at some point in
 6 time, and that's kind of what precipitated him filing
 7 this suit. I think there was an order that got rid
 8 of that --
 9
                  THE COURT: That has to do with the
10 sanctions and all that other stuff, that other thing.
11
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: No, sir, it does not.
12
                  THE COURT: Did he sign a judgment?
13
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: No.
14
                  THE COURT: What did he sign?
15
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, I gave you a copy of
16 the thing what he did. Hold on. Now, we're coming to
17 the heart of this stuff. Hold on. I have this in my
18 second amended as an exhibit.
19
                  THE COURT: Okay.
20
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: Have you got the exhibits
21 with the unsigned version of that over there?
22
                  THE COURT: I'm looking for it, yes, sir.
23 No exhibits.
24
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: It's got Exhibits A through
25 E, I believe. Have you got those?
```

THE COURT: Why isn't there a judgment,

1

4

6

8

,

11

12

16

17

19

20

23

25

THE COURT: No, sir. You didn't file those, either.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Of the documents that I gave Pam to give to you so you would be informed, I included those. And if they got -- here's the exhibits and here's -- may I approach the bench?

THE COURT: Certainly. I thought you said they were attached to the seconded amended petition. MR. BIRNBAUM: They are.

THE COURT: They're not.

MR. ELLIOTT: They may not be the same, Your Honor.

MR. BIRNBAUM: I beg your pardon? They are not attached to this. They are in some other documents. Give me a minute.

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, was your question to me, Why is there not a judgment signed in the original 2004 case?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ELLIOTT: I believe that the issue that was hanging that one up was the issue of attorney's fees, and I'm not sure how many judges actually sat on this, but I think Chapman was the most recent judge. And I think there had been an agreement that the attorney's fees that were awarded by the jury would be

- 1 waived, and I think there was a judgment that was signed
  2 sometime last year, and I'm not for sure.
- 3 THE COURT: Couldn't find it. And Mr. Ray
- 4 stated recently in a letter to you that Judge Chapman
- 5 had ordered him to prepare a judgment on the injunction.
- 6 And apparently nobody's done so.
- 7 MR. ELLIOTT: And part of that, Judge, may
- 8 be our client has passed away, and I'm not sure exactly 9 what Mr. Ray's doing on that one.
- 10 THE COURT: Well, has Mr. Ray tried to
- 11 enforce that in any way?
- MR. ELLIOTT: No. There has been no action
- 13 taken against Mr. Birnbaum by our office in an
- 14 initiation of a lawsuit. We have the counterpetition,
- 15 but we have not initiated any type of enforcement --
- 16 THE COURT: Counterpetition in this suit or
- 17 in another suit?
- 18 MR. ELLIOTT: In this suit. There haven't
- 19 been any other pleadings against Mr. Birnbaum since, I
- 20 believe, the mid '90s. And there were -- I don't think
- 21 he was involved in the Westfall suit, but he was
- 22 involved in the suit where --
- THE COURT: Who is he?
- MR. ELLIOTT: Mr. Ray. Mr. Ray was not a
- 25 party to the suit where Mr. Birnbaum and Mr. Westfall

- 1 were opposing parties, but after the first suit where
- 2 Mr. Ray was against Mr. Birnbaum. Then Mr. Birnbaum,
- 3 through Mr. Westfall, sued everybody. Mr. Ray was a
- 4 party to that suit. Then the next suit was
- 5 Mr. Birnbaum, I believe, against Mr. Westfall, and I
- 6 don't think Mr. Ray was a party to that.
- 7 Then in 2003 Mr. Birnbaum sued Mr. Ray
- 8 again. We filed no counterpetition. We just went
- 9 through the discovery; he ended up nonsuiting, I
- 10 believe, on his own. And then this suit is the most
- 11 recent suit, and we have filed a counterpetition in this
- 12 suit.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. So there's four suits?
- 14 MR. ELLIOTT: There has been four different
- 15 original petitions.
- 16 THE COURT: The Westfall suit you were not
- 17 involved in, to your knowledge?
- 18 MR. ELLIOTT: Right. If you count the
- 19 Westfall suit, then there would be five suits.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. So the original suit was
- 21 the one about the beaver dam?
- MR. ELLIOTT: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: And who was the plaintiff?
- 24 Who sued you, Mr. Birnbaum?
- MR. ELLIOTT: Who was our client?

```
MR. BIRNBAUM: On which one?
 1
 2
                  MR. ELLIOTT: The original lawsuit. Who
 3 was your neighboring landowner?
 4
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, that's still that case
 5 I--
                  THE COURT: Okay. That's what I asked you.
 6
 7 What was the name of that?
 8
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: William B. Jones, deceased a
9 couple years ago.
10
                  THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jones sued you, and
11 what was the next lawsuit?
12
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: The next lawsuit was
13 Mr. Westfall of Dallas coming telling me I had a really
14 good case for suing everybody --
15
                  THE COURT: Okay. Just tell me what the
16 suit was. What was the title of the suit? Westfall
17 versus Birnbaum?
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: Birnbaum versus Ray is the
18
19 first one; naming Judge Tommy Wallace, Judge Zimmerman,
20 Pat McDowell, Eddie Davis, Leslie Dickson -- but that
```

23 by Mr. Jones, and then you sue Mr. Ray. Mr. Westfall

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. So you -- you were sued

21 was my attorney.

24 sued Mr. Ray for you?

22

25

```
1 THE COURT: And these other judges?
```

- MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: And then that was dismissed?
- 4 MR. BIRNBAUM: That was dismissed under
- 5 real strange --
- 6 THE COURT: No, no. I just want to know
- 7 what happened to it.
- 8 MR. BIRNBAUM I don't know what happened
- 9 to it, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. You don't know. All
- 11 right. Then the third suit was Mr. Ray --
- MR. BIRNBAUM: I know what happened to it.
- THE COURT: Okay. What happened?
- 14 MR. BIRNBAUM: A judgment was entered
- 15 allowing me to amend the petition. Now you figure that
- 16 one out.
- 17 THE COURT: No, I don't -- you're right. I
- 18 can't. Okay. The third one was Mr. Westfall suing you.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: And then there was a fourth one
- 21 where you sued Mr. Ray.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: One added. Mr. Westfall
- 23 sued me for the legal fees that he incurred in suing
- 24 Mr. Ray.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. I understand that. I

- 1 assumed that's what it was. Okay. What was number four 2 suit? We're in number five right now, right?
- 3 MR. BIRNBAUM: I can't keep up with the
- 4 numbers, Your Honor. Several things happened --
- 5 THE COURT: Well, did you sue or did they
- 6 sue you?
- 7 MR. BIRNBAUM: No, they sued me. He sued 8 me for an unpaid open account.
- 9 THE COURT: No, no, no. After that; the 10 next suit.
- 11 MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, in that suit I made a 12 counterclaim for Mr. Ray.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Then after that; I made a
- 15 claim against his law partner, Mr. Westfall's law
- 16 partner, I believe, Frank C. Flemings.
- 17 MR. ELLIOTT: I may have mentioned some of
- 18 those. The suits between him and Westfall's office, I
- 19 know that they were there because I know that part of
- 20 what he's suing us for are sanctions he received in that
- 21 lawsuit. I know that --
- THE COURT: That's what Judge Chapman --
- 23 that was where the sanctions were in the Westfall suit,
- 24 right?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, there was a sanction

by Judge --

THE COURT: Chapman?

MR. BIRNBAUM: There was a judgment by

Judge Banner.

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Was it Judge Banner?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Both of them. Both of them, finding that it was a delusion in my mind to stop -- and all these things. Let me continue just one more moment. And there was some squabbling. The court reporter had

found the judge saying that it was well intentions, just didn't say the RICO, and then some squabbling occurred, and then I became the devil. After the case was in the appeals court, they were working in the court over here.

countersued Frank Fleming, and I may have

countersued -- not countersued -- may have sued Mr. Ray. I

believe that's correct. And then the crowning knoll of

the whole thing was Judge Banner after putting a

\$62,000 fine on me -- not a judgment, a fine -- and

And, anyhow, somewhere along the line then, I

Mr. Chapman coming in to hear a recusal motion on a case that was dead because they were mocking me around in the back of the court --

THE COURT: A case that was what?

MR. BIRNBAUM: It was dead.

THE COURT: Dead? Why was it dead?

```
1 MR. BIRNBAUM: It was in the Supreme Court.
```

- 2 It wasn't over here at all.
- 3 THE COURT: Then why was there a recusal
- 4 motion?
- 5 MR. BIRNBAUM: Figure that one out.
- 6 THE COURT: You filed it, didn't you?
- 7 MR. BIRNBAUM: I was trying to stop it.
- 8 The judge was doing all kinds of things in the case over
  - 9 here while it was over there, and that was the only way
  - 10 I knew to stop it was with a recusal motion. And we had
- 11 a hearing, and it was decided to hear a recusal motion
- 12 on the dead case. And then he got mad at me --
- 13 THE COURT: Wait a minute. When you say a
- 14 dead case -- if it's on appeal, it's not dead.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Well, maybe I used the
- 16 improper word. It was not in here.
- 17 THE COURT: It had been appealed to the
- 18 Court in Texarkana?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: No, it was in the 12th
- 20 Circuit of the Dallas one. I can't tell you which one.
- 21 It was one of the State Courts, and it was already in
- 22 the Texas Supreme Court.
- MR. ELLIOTT: I think that one's Tyler.
- THE COURT: Is it Tyler?
- MR. ELLIOTT: I believe it was.

- THE COURT: I'm not familiar with where the appellate courts are out here. I just know Dallas and West Texas.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Let me state, the point was Judge Chapman was assigned to hear a recusal hearing and nothing else, and he put a \$125,000 fine on me stating that when it's through, it's supposed to be through, 8 nothing going on.
- 9 THE COURT: Did he sign a judgment on it?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: What happened? Did you pay it?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: That was the one you asked
- 13 -me about last time, was I going to pay it, and I told 14 you, No.
- THE COURT: Oh, well, I misunderstood you.
- 16 I thought I was asking about another one. I thought I
- 17 was asking about the attorney's fees. Okay. So you
- 18 didn't pay that sanction?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: No, but let me put the
  20 counter clinch on it. The answer is that after all this
  21 stuff of getting the -- this judgment, 60 thousand plus
- 22 interest, whatever --
- THE COURT: Which judgment?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: In the Westfall case.
- THE COURT: Mr. Westfall got a judgment?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes. Now, the law office may have become a defunct -- defaulted under the Secretary of State; I do not know. No, in fact, I know. But it's still in the works. There was the -- about a \$62,000 fine in the Westfall case against me. And then there is a \$125,000 against me in the Westfall case signed by Judge Chapman. That happened to be on April the 1st on the dead case.

THE COURT: But the case was originally tried by Judge Zimmerman; is that right?

12

13

15

17

19

21

22

23

25

MR. BIRNBAUM: Oh, no. That one's just been sitting there.

THE COURT: So -- but it was tried by Judge Zimmerman; is that right?

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir, uh-huh.

THE COURT: Where is Judge Zimmerman?

MR. BIRNBAUM: He recused himself finally.

THE COURT: Is he from Canton?

MR. ELLIOTT: No. He was a visiting judge also. Judge Wallace originally started the case, I believe; he was recused. I believe there was a motion to recuse. Then, I think Judge Zimmerman came in and heard the case. Then after it was appealed, Judge Zimmerman ended up being recused. And then, I think -after that I get confused. I know Banner, Chapman --

```
1
                       THE COURT: What did McDowell have to do
2
   with it?
3
                 MR. ELLIOTT: I think that was in the
   Westfall case. There's -- I don't know, Judge.
5
                 MR. BIRNBAUM: McDowell was the Westfall
   case that was my lawyer, Westfall, suing a flock of
   something. Let me continue the stuff with the
   sanctions. The 62,000 sanction put on me by Judge
   Banner, then the 125,000, where he just simply doubled
   it on the dead case, by Judge Chapman in about 2004.
11
                 And upon that I said, Boy, they're after
   me. I better get out of here and all kinds of other
   stuff, and I dropped these other lawsuits. Well, guess
13
   what? Judge Chapman, that had put the $125,000 fine on
14
   me, gets assigned to the beaver case. And I want him
15
   off.
                   THE COURT: The original case?
17
                 MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes. Judge Chapman is right
18
   now the official judge on the beaver case.
19
                 THE COURT: But he's the one that ordered
20
   Mr. Ray to do a judgment. That's what you said in the
   letter.
22
                   MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, yes. That is correct.
                 THE COURT: You said, At our most recent
23
```

proceeding --

25

MR. BIRNBAUM: I was trying to find that document, and I'll find it.

THE COURT: In 2004 Judge Chapman instructed Mr. Ray to draw up a judgment -- the injunction is what it says. Okay. After the -- let's say after Judge Chapman had this sanction hearing at the time of the recusal hearing, I guess it was, what has

Mr. Ray done since then?

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

MR. BIRNBAUM: He's filed a counterclaim on Ime for libel.

THE COURT: Other than -- between the time of that hearing and time you filed this suit, what has he done?

MR. BIRNBAUM: He's been setting and piling the beaver case, throwing all kinds of the cloud upon title of my property and other stuff and leaving this beaver case to fester over there for judges to grant an injunction that I forever keep the creek clear of beavers and other things. It wasn't real clear what it is that he's supposed to do, but he ordered him to write

it up. The problem that they got when you put it down on paper, it starts looking stupid. When he starts writing down --

THE COURT: What you -- and I'm asking you.

Maybe I'm wrong, if that case went away --

```
1 MR. BIRNBAUM: Which case?
```

- THE COURT: The beaver case that there's no
- 3 judgment in.
- 4 MR. BIRNBAUM: If it went away -- it hasn't
- 5 gone away.
  - 6 THE COURT: Okay. I said, if it did.
  - 7 MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes.
  - 8 THE COURT: If it went away -- and Mr. Ray,
- 9 Mr. Elliott, and Ms. Thatcher and Mr. Westfall -- is he
- 10 dead?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Any of these people,
- 13 they have nothing against you anymore --
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Westfall, his wife and his
- 15 daughter have got these big sanctions.
- 16 THE COURT: But have they got a judgment?
- 17 MR. BIRNBAUM: It says on the bottom, this
- 18 judgment to be paid. Yes, they have a judgment.
- 19 THE COURT: Did they abstract? Do you
- 20 know?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: They abstracted one. They
- 22 did not abstract the other one. As I understand it, one
- 23 of the judges came in here and tried to abstract an
- 24 order. I don't know what it was. You figure that one
- 25 out.

4

7

8

10

12

1,3

14

15

17

10

19

11

22

23

25

THE COURT: I doubt any judge didthat,

He might have granted a request,

doubt that he would, himself, abstracted.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, if you look at the thing that both Judge Banner signed and Judge --

THE COURT: Well, if they signed it, that's not the same thing as them going down to the county clerk's office and abstracted.

MR. BIRNBAUM: You were saying that they wouldn't. Okay. I'm arguing that I've seen them sign some things that one wouldn't expect a judge to sign.

THE COURT: Well, signing something is different from going down to the county clerk's office and abstracting a judgment. First of all, we're not going to pay the money to have it done. We're too cheap; okay? We don't have it in our expense account to do it.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, I'm not a

Let me make one correction --

THE COURT: What I want to know is what you

MR. BIRNBAUM: What's in my petition.

THE COURT: I know that. But what do you

Do you really want this beaver dam thing

1 to go away? MR. BIRNBAUM: I want it out of my hair 3 that it doesn't hang on top of it. But that's not the 4 problem. The problem is all the problems that it's caused. THE COURT: What's that? 7 MR. BIRNBAUM: All my entitlements with Westfall, all my entitlements in the Court, all the judges getting mad at me, all kinds of judges putting 9 sanctions on me all over the place. And this all started out because I wouldn't sign a submission request 11 over beavers in 1994. Let me finish. Mr. Elliott said 12 this beaver case has been around since 2004. 13 THE COURT: He didn't say that. 14 MR. BIRNBAUM: Is that correct? 15 THE COURT: No, he didn't say that. 16 MR. BIRNBAUM: 1994. 17 THE COURT: He said over 10 years is what I thought he said. And he and I -- neither one were here. So we're not sure. You should tell us when it started. 20 ELLIOTT: Well, he may have misunderstood. There was the suit in 2003 that he dismissed in 2004, which was the four out of five cases 22 that I know of. So that's maybe what he was talking

24

25

about.

23

```
2 don't understand why there's not a judgment.
 3
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, that's what I'm
4
5
6
7 quoted the things in there, Mr. Birnbaum would have had
8 a lawyer, he would have never gotten here. It's in the
9 petition.
10
                  THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum, come up here. I
11 want you to take this and hand one to Mr. Elliott. Hand
12 one of those copies to him. Whenever I receive
13 something in the mail that has something to do with the
14 • lawsuit, I always furnish it to both parties.
                   MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, just for the
15
16 record, I have not seen this.
17
                   THE COURT: Absolutely; I'm sure you
18
               haven't. It came surreptitiously in the mail.
19 Surreptitious is probably the wrong word. It was
20 surreptitious to me, because I thought somebody was
21 trying to sell me a book. And I almost threw it in the
22 trash and then I kept on reading and realized that it
23 had something to do with this lawsuit.
24
                  MR. BIRNBAUM: I do know the person, and he
25
```

THE COURT: All right. Now then. I still

1

- 1 disclosure. I do know him, but I'm not in control of
- 2 him any more than --
- 3 THE COURT: You're not in control of him.
- 4 MR. BIRNBAUM: I'm not in any more control
- 5 of him than the man in the back of the courtroom last 6 time.
- 7 THE COURT: Well, you understand that when
- 8 I get something like that, I'm duty-bound to share it
- 9 with everyone.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm also going to share
- 12 it with the presiding judge and make sure that he
- 13 understands that I received, if not a suggestion of
- 14 influence, maybe a veiled threat. But in any event, if
- 15 you talk to Mr. Collins, since you know him, you might
- 16 tell him that that's not proper for him to do that. The
- 17 Court would like to make its decisions based upon what
- 18 it hears in the court and reads in the pleadings and not 19 what somebody else writes in.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, all the person
- 21 I've come to know him when these things have been
- 22 through the Court, he was in the court. Some other
- 23 things was thrown in the hat with Judge Zimmerman. We
- 24 got to know each other out of that. I don't control him
- 25 any more than I control the man that was at the last

- 1 hearing in the back of the room.
- THE COURT: I don't doubt you,
- 3 Mr. Birnbaum, but I've made a request. I said, If you
  4 speak to the man, please tell him don't do that. If you
  5 don't speak to him, don't worry about it. Don't make a
  6 special effort.
- 7 MR. BIRNBAUM: Do not make a special 8 effort?
- 9 THE COURT: No.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Okay. Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: If he's not your friend -- you 12said you just met him. I don't know. Apparently, he's 13 sided with you.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Yeah, he is my friend. He

  15 has become my friend over the years, but I do not

  16 control him.
- 17 THE COURT: I never have claimed that you 18 did. I don't know how he found out that I was in the 19 lawsuit. Don't know how he found out my address.
- 20 MR. BIRNBAUM: Incidentally, a lot of my 21 things are on the Internet, as you would know, as was in
- 22 the pleading over here, what he called Exhibit A.
- 23 THE COURT: Are any of the pleadings on the 24 Internet?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: There is a copy of my Web

afternoon reading everything that y'all sent. So that's my opportunity to read is when I come here. So if you want me to read something, you should send it to me.

MR. BIRNBAUM: So anything that is filed from now on needs to be sent to you also?

14

22

25

THE COURT: I would appreciate it.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Thank you. I didn't know if

THE COURT: Absolutely. I would appreciate it. That's how -- Mr. Elliott sent me a copy of his motion that he had filed for protection, and that's how I knew to call Pam to request a hearing. If I had gotten your Motion for Discovery control plan, I would have done the same thing.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, I'm talking about all the subject we covered here, almost confused myself, and I wouldn't be surprised if everybody else is either at this moment.

I'm not good on my feet. got the documents; they're all in here, and I suggest, Your

4

6

J

10

11

1,3

15

14

17

16

18

20

~\*

23

25

Honor, either ask the parties to resubmit to you their documents or have the clerk provide you a copy of the file. You know, I sometimes feel like I'm just writing things to the wall and --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Suggestion?

THE COURT: First of all, the Motion for Protection is granted. Ms. Thatcher hadn't filed one; I don't know what her situation is, but Mr. Elliott's is granted.

Mr. Elliott, if and when you become a party to this suit, it's probably going to be advisable for y'all to get your own attorneys outside your law firm.

The Motion for Discovery control plan is granted, and I will prepare one. I'll have to come up with some dates for trials, and since we've been in -- delayed here, it'll probably in the fall sometime.

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, if we could, we're actually on several dockets. Can we give you the months that we're not set for trial?

THE COURT: Would you mail me those?

MR. ELLIOTT: We'll do that. And, Your

Honor --

THE COURT: Do you understand,

Mr. Birnbaum?

19

23

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yeah. What I understand that the setting that you now have for pretrial is gone. THE COURT: Is gone.

MR. BIRNBAUM: I suspected that. Let me make one more correction. He received yesterday a sworn subpoena from the Court asking for a deposition on this thing properly served to him, and they signed that yesterday or day before yesterday. So that has changed in the sense that I corrected that, that he may not have been served.

THE COURT: You need to get him in the suit first; you need to get your pleading corrected to where it's signed. If there's exhibits that need to be on it -- I don't know whether they are or not -- you need to include those.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You need to -- and that's it. And -- but as I said, when they're in the suit as defendants, I have suggested to them that they get their own attorneys or represent themselves.

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, if we actually did -- I filed --

THE COURT: Let me stop you while I have a train of thought. I don't know why Mr. Birnbaum got off on this other thing.

- 1 What I said was, Mr. Birnbaum -- listen to
- 2 me. He is going to provide me with some dates that they
- 3 have conflicts for six months down the line. I expect
- 4 you to do the same. Do you have my address?
- 5 MR. BIRNBAUM: I have your address on the
- 6 letter.
- 7 THE COURT: I know you do because you sent
- 8 me something. Okay. So I expect you to do the same.
- 9 MR. BIRNBAUM: I will give you a verbal
- 10 that almost anytime is -- almost anytime is -- barring
- 11 emergencies I will comply.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead,
- 13 Mr. Elliott, I'm sorry.
- 14 MR. ELLIOTT: When he served -- when he
- 15 mailed me a copy of the petition with my name on it, and
- 16 it had a notice -- some type of attempted notice of
- 17 deposition, I filed a Motion of Protection for me. Then
- 18 he brought by my office, or maybe he sent it certified
- 19 mail -- I'm not sure which how he got it -- another
- 20 notice of deposition that included Ms. Thatcher at that
- 21 time.
- 22 So we came back and filed Motions for
- 23 Protection for both of us. It wasn't the one that
- 24 actually made it to you. I think we filed those
- 25 probably yesterday or the day before, whenever they came

- 1 by the office to serve us with that.
- THE COURT: It didn't make it to the
- 3 lawsuit.
- 4 MR. ELLIOTT: It may not have even made it
- 5 to the folder yet if it came in yesterday.
- 6 MR. BIRNBAUM: Your Honor, I make that
- 7 comment again on it. It says, We filed a Motion for
- 8 Protection for him and Ms. Thatcher. I did not receive
- 9 anything with Ms. Thatcher.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. ELLIOTT: Well, it may not have gotten
  12 there yet, because we just got served with -- or we just
  13 received a copy of those so...
- 14 THE COURT: I'm sure you'll get it. But if 15 you don't, that's not proper either.
- MR. BIRNBAUM: As I understand it; fix the thing, fix the clerical errors, and do it correct, and we will hear from you.
- 19 THE COURT: And get it served properly.
- 20 I'll go to work on some dates for a discovery control
- 21 plan. Okay?
- MR. BIRNBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
- MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, just before --
- THE COURT: You'll send me an order.
- MR. ELLIOTT: In addition to that, I

6

,

9

11

13

14

17

18

20

21

..

24

25

anticipate there being some more motions. I know we've got the Motion for Summary Judgment and possibly some Motions to Dismiss the other parties that we may request settings on at sometime in the near future.

THE COURT: Well, like I said, I made suggestions to you. So do what you want to do. Judge Chapman is still in the original suit?

MR. BIRNBAUM: No, he's not in the suit.

Judge Chapman is the judge on the --

THE COURT: That's what I mean. I misspoke. You're right. He is the judge in the original suit that Judge Zimmerman tried that does not have a judgment.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Yes. And Judge Chapman got on me for filing frivolous motions to recuse, not recognizing the reason he's sitting on there is because

Judge Zimmerman recused himself.

THE COURT: Well, what happened to those -- well, never mind.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Judge, I suggest you look at the file and clarify things.

THE COURT: I have. And like you said, I'm Iconfused.

MR. BIRNBAUM: Thank you.

(End of Proceedings)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	i )
3	) T )
4	
5	I, Carmel Martinez, Deputy Official Court Reporter
	$6\mathrm{in}$ and for the 294th District Court of Van Zandt County,
7	State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and
8	foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of
	9 all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested
10	in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in
11	this volume of the Reporter's Record, in the
12	above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred
13	in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.
14	I further certify that this Reporter's Record of
15	the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the
16	exhibits, if any, admitted by the respective parties.
17	I further certify that the total cost for the
	18 preparation of this Reporter's Record is \$241.50 and was
19	paid by Mr. Udo Birnbaum, Plaintiff.
20	WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 9th day of April,
21	2008.
22	Carmel M $\sim$ z , CSR
23	Texas CSR# 8128
24	Expiration Date: 12/31/08 316 Lindo Drive
25	Mesquite, Texas 75149 (214) 923-2501